

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/016,232	12/06/2001	Ross A. Donelly	SNSY-A2001-007	2984	
35273	7590 02/23/2004		EXAM	INER	
SYNOPSYS, INC. C/O BEVER, HOFFMAN & HARMS, LLP			DIMYAN, MAGID Y		
2099 GATEW SUITE 320	2099 GATEWAY PLACE SUITE 320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	SAN JOSE, CA 95110-1017			2825	

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	10/016,232	DONELLY ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Magid Y Dimyan	2825
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 10 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in a timely filed amendment which is a timely filed appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.13(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (a)	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ice later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within the po R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal c	eriod set forth in If the appeal.
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:	
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		idered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo)⊡ will be entered and an ow or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: 12-18.		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11, and 19-20</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme		
10. Other:		JUSI
	1 2000	PATTHEW SMITH
	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	SORY PATENT EXCLUDING NO CONTROL OF THE CANADA

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicants' arguments in their Amendment in Response to the Final Office Action pertaining to incremental placements of cells and direct timing driven placements of cells were not persuasive to the Examiner.